

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/811,158	03/16/2001	Padmanabhan Sreenivasan	499.057US1	5792
21186 SCHWEGMA	7590 06/22/2007 N I LINDRERG WOESSN	JER & KIIITH P A	EXAM	INER
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			REFAI, RAMSEY	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3627	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•	•	06/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notice of Non-Compliant	09/811,158	SREENIVASAN	ET AL.		
Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ramsey Refai	3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress		
The amendment document filed on is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.					
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE A 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include B. New paragraph(s) should not be under C. Other	markings.	BE NON-COMPLI	IANT:		
2. Abstract:A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37B. Other	CFR 1.72.				
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identifie "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 C B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing amended figures, without man C. Other 	CFR 1.121(d). awing correction has been elimin	nated. Replaceme	ent drawings		
 ✓ 4. Amendments to the claims: ☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. ☑ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) ☐ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). ☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. ☑ E. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 					
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or no	·	·			
For further explanation of the amendment format require	d by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP §	714.			
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTIC	DE:				
1. Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.					
 Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whe correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one or (including a submission for a request for continued eramendment filed within a suspension period under 3 Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1, to 4, are che non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CF 	f the following: a preliminary ame examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 7 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an an ecked, the correction required is o	endment, a non-fir (1.114), a supple nendment filed in	nal amendment mental response to a		
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR amendment or an amendment filed in response to		t amendment is a	non-final		
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result Abandonment of the application if the non-confiled in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-complement amendment.	mpliant amendment is a non-fina				
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telepho	ne No.			

Continuation of 4(e) Other: The claims have not addressed all the limitations the were previously presented in the claims filed 10/26/06. More specifically, the limitations "sent by the coordinator to each node in the plurality of nodes" in line 7-8 of claim 1, "of the plurality of nodes" in line 10 of claim 2, "of the plurality of nodes" in line 10 of claim 3 have not been addressed but have been merely omitted from the claims filed 04/02/07.

F. RYAN ZEENDER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER